

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. 9/194,2<mark>9</mark>7

FILING DATE 11/23/98

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKETING

WM02/1105

MICHAEL B. LASKY ALTERA LAW GROUP 10749 BREN ROAD EAST, MINNEAPOLIS MN 55343

OPUS 2

EXAMINER

PAPER NUMBER

11/05/01

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Aprilicant(s)
		09/194,297	PALLONEN, JORMA
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Pablo N Tran	2684
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S	September 2001	
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
LIS Patent and T	rademark Office		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/194,297

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 09/11/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Keskitalo et al.* (5,893,033).

As per claims 1 and 5, *Keskitalo et al.* disclosed a method for determining the position of a mobile terminal (fig. 4/no. 308) in a coverage area of a base station of a radio system for using location information, in which the base station comprises equipments for receiving/measuring/comparing the signals received from the same mobile station simultaneously by at least two antenna beams (fig. 4/no. 310,312,314,316) directed in different directions, wherein the base station defining a timing advance for a time lag caused by the distance between the mobile station and

Application/Control Number: 09/194,297

Art Unit: 2684

the base station, determining the direction of the mobile station, calculating the distance between the base station and the mobile station on the basis of the timing advance defined for the mobile station and the propagation speed of the radio signals, and based upon the calculation a decision is made as to whether or not the mobile station should be transferred to another base station by a handover (abstract, fig. 4, col. 8/ln. 12-col. 10/ln. 33).

As per claims 2 and 6, *Keskitalo et al.* further disclosed calculating for each beam the mean value of the signal levels of the signals received from the mobile station by the respective antenna beams (fig. 5, col. 7/ln. 46-col. 8/ln. 11).

As per claims 3 and 7, *Keskitalo et al.* further disclosed choosing the antenna beam with the strongest signal level and at least one adjacent beam (col. 8/ln. 12-col. 10/ln. 33).

As per claim 8, *Keskitalo et al.* further disclosed said base station is a base station of a cellular radio system divided into logical traffic channels in accordance with a TDMA principle (col. 1/ln. 22-30).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/194,297

Art Unit: 2684

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5. examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Hunter, can be reached at (703)308-6732.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Pablo Tran.

Examiner, Art Unit 2684

October 25, 2001

TECHNOLUGY DENTER 2500